

Item	Requestor	Company	Date Requested	Trans Set(s)	Description	Resolution	Date Discussed	Status (OPEN=0)
001	Mike Vogelsang	ComEd	05/18/2009	810	The implementation notes list 4 potential responses to an 810 Bill Ready transaction with an 824. At the ICC Workshop on 1/27/09, it was decided that the utility will only send an 824 confirmation that the RES charges were presented on the bill. The 824 Acceptance will not be used. Propose removing the reference to the 824 Acceptance from the guide on page 4.	Remove the reference to the 824 Acceptance from the guide on page 4.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED
002	Mike Vogelsang	ComEd	05/18/2009	810	The introduction of the 810 invoice on page 5 of the guide shows the use of the NTE segment, which is not used and not included in the detail section. The introduction does not include the PID segment, which is listed in the detail section. The use of the field is for the supplier bill messages on the bill. Propose removing the reference to the NTE segment, and add a reference for the PID segment on page 5.	Remove the reference to the NTE segment, and add a reference for the PID segment on page 5.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED
003	Mike Vogelsang	ComEd	05/19/2009	814E-Resp	Specify in the Implementation Notes section of the 814 enrollment response that ComEd will use the word MANUAL for any enrollment response generated by ComEd enrolling a customer online to a RES in it's system.	ComEd will populate the BGN06 field with the word "MANUAL". This will alert the RES to the fact that there was not an 814 enrollment request from them to match the response to. This is just a documentation change for the 814 enrollment response guide.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED
004	Bill Hunsicker	Systrends	06/10/2009	867	The sending of the Transformer Loss Multiplier value should be optional, not required (i.e. it should only be sent when it applies to a given service point).	The proposed change is accepted. The Transformer Loss Multiplier is only required to be sent when the value is other than 1.00. The Transformer Loss Multiplier value (REF-CO) is communicated on the PTD-PL loop. Need to change "required" to "optional" in the Notes section of the Implementation Guide.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED
005	Bill Hunsicker	Systrends	04/15/2009	867MU	"C1" needs to be changed to "C1" in the 867 Monthly Usage EDI Implementation Guide.	The 867 Monthly Usage EDI Implantation Guide lists a possible value of "C1" for the BPT04, but the sample in the back of the Implementation Guide shows "C1". The correct value is "C1". The IG will be corrected.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED
006	Joe Baker	Ameren	06/12/2009	867HU	Modify the 867HU to use the DTM 020 on the PTD*SU record instead of the DTM 210 on the QTY record. The reason is to mimic and create consistency with the 867MU transaction.	The change is accepted. This will appear as follows: PTD*SU DTM*150*Date ? DTM 020 segment DTM*151*Date ? DTM 020 segment QTY*QD*100*KH To replace PTD*SU QTY*QD*100*KH DTM*150*Date ? DTM 210 segment DTM*151*Date? DTM 210 segment	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED

Item	Requestor	Company	Date Requested	Trans Set(s)	Description	Resolution	Date Discussed	Status (OPEN=0)
007	Mike Vogelsang	ComEd	06/12/2009	824 – Application Advice	Add 2 reject codes for the 824 transaction – ABN for duplicate information and DDM for invalid dates	The proposed change is accepted. These codes are used in other jurisdictions like EDEWEG. There are no codes in the current CPWG 824 to specify the following situations: 1) A duplicate 810 original or 810 cancel or 810 reissue sent from the supplier for the same bill period. Propose: ABN (Duplicate Request Received), 2) Since ComEd will validate that the dates on the 810 match the dates from the 867, we need a way to reject the 810 if the dates do not match. Propose: DDM (Dates Do Not Match). The DDM code will be used by ComEd only. Ameren does not perform date validation on the 810 and, therefore, would never return the code DDM. Pages 21 and 22 of the EDI 810 Bill Ready guide states that the service dates are optional for Ameren although required for ComEd.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED
008	Kim Wall	PPLSolutions, LLC	06/17/2009	814 Enrollment Request	The IG itself is correct, but the example in the IG is not correct. Page 28, the example is for Rate Ready, but the REF*PC is showing as "DUAL". Change to REF*PC*LDC under both examples on that page.	The proposed change is accepted. The IG will be corrected.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED
009	Kim Wall	PPLSolutions, LLC	06/17/2009	814 Change Response	The IG itself is correct, but the example in the IG is not correct. The example in the gray box for the Reason for Change (REF*TD) on Page 13 is showing an example for a REF*11. Please change to REF*TD*REFBF which is the example used on the Request transaction.	The proposed change is accepted. The IG will be corrected.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED
010	Kim Wall	PPLSolutions, LLC	06/17/2009	820 Remittance	The IG itself is correct, but the example in the IG is not correct. All three examples at the end have ST*814*001, but should be ST*820*0001.	The proposed change is accepted. The IG will be corrected.	Tue, June 23, 2009	CLOSED