REMEDY SELECTION REPORT - 40 CFR § 257.97 RUSH ISLAND, LABADIE, SIOUX AND MERAMEC CCR BASINS

In May 2019, Ameren Missouri completed Corrective Measures Assessment (CMA) Reports for certain coal ash (CCR) basins located at the Rush Island, Labadie, Meramec, and Sioux energy centers. For each site, the CMAs considered a series of alternatives, all of which are protective of human health and the environment, control source material, minimize the potential for further releases and, over time, will attain site-specific groundwater protection standards. After sharing the CMAs publicly, Ameren Missouri solicited public input. In addition to the CMAs, Ameren Missouri and its consultants performed numerous technical evaluations, all of which help to inform the Company's remedy selection. Those evaluations include groundwater modeling; human health and ecological risk assessments; groundwater treatment assessments; onsite and offsite monitoring data; rail, barge and truck transportation studies; and a deep excavation study report.¹ The technical assessments, data and public input inform the evaluation of selection factors that has led to this final remedy selection.

Set forth below is a summary of Ameren Missouri's remedial plan that, when fully implemented and completed, will achieve CCR Rule requirements. As previously announced, Ameren Missouri intends to expeditiously close CCR basins at its energy centers by completing necessary steps to remove the basins from service and then installing an engineered cap system that exceeds, by more than two orders of magnitude, the federal regulatory requirements and, as modeling indicates, will minimize the limited and localized impact to groundwater observed at the CCR basins. In time, the sites will attain site-specific groundwater protection standards. As conditions stabilize after cover system installation, groundwater evaluations and monitoring will continue, and, as necessary, be modified. Ameren Missouri intends to implement the following corrective action measures in conjunction with the closure of CCR basins.

CORRECTIVE MEASURES REMEDIAL PLAN

CMA Reports Alternative 1: Source Control Through Installation of Low Permeable Cover System & Monitored Natural Attenuation

- 1. Source control, stabilization and containment of CCR by installation of a lowpermeability geomembrane cap (a minimum 1 x 10 -7 centimeters per second (cm/sec) versus 1 x 10 -5 cm/sec required by the CCR Rule).
- Once source control is achieved, monitor the natural attenuation (MNA) of groundwater concentrations to address limited and localized CCR-related impacts. Ongoing monitoring and modeling evaluations will document that concentrations are

¹ Technical assessments are appended to the CMA reports and/or to Ameren Missouri's Response to Public Concerns and all have been posted to Ameren's CCR website.

decreasing as modeled. MNA occurs due to naturally occurring processes within the aquifer.

- 3. Annual Groundwater *Monitoring and Corrective Action Reports* for each site will address the following:
 - Demonstrate that groundwater plume(s) are stable or decreasing and not expanding;
 - Contain an ongoing summary of baseline and periodic geochemical analysis including groundwater chemistry, subsurface soils chemical composition and mineralogy;
 - Determine site-specific attenuation factors and rate of attenuation process; and
 - Design a long-term performance monitoring program based on the specific attenuation mechanism to confirm concentration reductions and document trends.

The installation of a low-permeability, geomembrane cap system satisfies both the CCR Rule's basin closure requirements and can constitute an appropriate remedial corrective measure for groundwater impacts, as recently confirmed by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). A properly engineered and installed cap will practically eliminate the infiltration of water into the stored ash material. As summarized in the CMA reports, concentrations will reduce once the cap system stops recharge into the ash and groundwater conditions, such as pH levels, stabilize. Ameren Missouri will establish a long-term performance monitoring plan in accordance with the CCR Rule to document and confirm such reductions. MNA encompasses a variety of physical and chemical processes (biodegradation, sorption, dilution, chemical reactions and evaporation), which, under the right conditions, can immobilize metals in aquifer sediments. In addition to capping as a remedial corrective measure, both EPA and MDNR recognize MNA as a corrective action component for addressing inorganics (metals) in groundwater. *EPA Directive 9283.1-36 (2015); Section 644.143 RSMo (1999).* As MDNR notes, <u>MNA is not a "no action" alternative and is complementary to source control measures.</u> (*See Fact Sheet: MNA of Groundwater at Brownfields/Voluntary Cleanup Program Sites.*)

IMPLEMENTATION OF REMEDY

Under its current schedule, Ameren Missouri will close more than 67% (428 acres) of its CCR units by the end of 2020, with the remaining 33% by December 2023. Installation of a geomembrane cap at the energy centers will practically eliminate infiltration. Site preparation activities are underway at Rush Island and Labadie, with construction of the cap/cover systems occurring over the next 12 -18 months. Closure of additional basins at Meramec will occur in 2020 and 2021, with closure of remaining basins following the retirement of the energy center in 2023. At Sioux, use of the ash basins will terminate once wastewater and dry ash handling facilities are

August 30, 2019

completed in 2020. Set forth below are key milestones in the implementation of Ameren's remedial plans. Such schedule is subject to revision based upon each energy center's construction schedule, ongoing field investigations and, if needed, regulatory approvals.

Facility	Ash Basin Removed from Service	Ash Basin Cap System Completed	Performance Review: Groundwater & Cap System		
Rush Island	04/2019	12/2020	Annual - Commencing 2021		
Labadie	09/2019	12/2020	Annual - Commencing 2021		
Sioux	12/2020	2021	Annual - Commencing 2023		
Meramec	12/2022	2023	Annual - Commencing 2024		

SUPPLEMENTAL CORRECTIVE MEASURES

In its laboratories, XDD, Ameren Missouri's environmental consultant, reproduced existing (i.e. pre-closure) groundwater and soil conditions so as to evaluate potential treatment methods to accelerate existing natural attenuation processes. Under appropriate conditions, metals can attenuate through precipitation, co-precipitation and/or sorption processes with subsurface soil minerals. XDD is evaluating potential treatment methods such as the use of pH adjustment, zero valent iron (ZVI), and bio-augmentation.² Laboratory results for arsenic and molybdenum, the primary contaminants of concern (COC) at some of Ameren's energy centers, indicate that through the adjustment of pH levels in subsurface soils and groundwater, groundwater protection standards (GWPS) can be met for each site³ and that the use of chemical reduction (ZVI) and bioremediation may be helpful in the reduction process for these and other compounds.

Set forth below is a summary chart reflecting results from ongoing treatment studies. Boron is included for evaluation purposes even though under the Federal CCR Rule it is not currently an Appendix IV parameter.

² Ameren Missouri and XDD have experience with the use of ZVI and bio-augmentation at its Huster Substation property, a groundwater remediation project supervised by USEPA and MDNR, (CERCLA-07-2017-0129). Using a drill rig, XDD injected a slurry comprised of water and ZVI into subsurface soils and groundwater forming a reactive barrier that successfully contained groundwater contaminants that had migrated from the substation. In addition, ongoing degradation of source contaminants continues to occur through a bio-augmentation process consisting of the injection of feedstock into the sands of the aquifer.

³ The slow groundwater flow rate at the Sioux energy center has allowed for the concentration of molybdenum at levels higher than those observed at the other energy centers. Such conditions however may be particularly conducive to the use of ZVI or bioremediation.

	Arsenic	Molybdenum	Boron	Lithiu	n	Attenuation
	mg/L				Mechanism	
pH 10		R/M5/M6		M6		P,C
рН 9	R					P,C
рН 8	R	M6				P,C
рН 7	R					P,C
рН 6	R/M5*/M6*	R/M5/M6/L/S				P,C
CaSx	R	R/M5/M6/L	M6	M5		P,C
Dissolved Iron						
(Anaerobic)	R	L				P,C
Dissolved Iron (Aerobic)	R	L				P,C
ZVI Injectable	R	R/M5/M6/L/S	L/S R/M5/M6	M5/M	6	P,C
ZVI PRB R		R/M5/M6/L	R/M5/M6	M5/M	6	P,C
ZVI Injectable + Bio	R	R/M5/M6/L/S	R/M5/M6	M5/M6		P,C
ZVI Injectable pH 8 + Bio	R	R/L	R			P,C
ZVI PRB + Bio	R	M5/M6/L/S	S	M5/M6	L/S	P,C
ZVI PRB pH 8 + Bio	R	R/L	R	M6	L/S	P,C

SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TREATMENT STUDIES

Notes:

	-

PRB = permeable reactive barrier

Injectable = iron particles at micro-scale; potentially applied through injection Dissolved iron = 50 mg/L Iron(II) sulfate CaSx = calcium polysulfide P = Precipitation C = Co-precipitation

L = Labadie S = Sioux

R = Rush Island

M5/M6 = Meramec monitoring wells

No Effect

Reduce

Increase

Attains Standard

Non-Detect

* = arsenic was not detected in M5/M6 baseline despite being detected during quarterly sampling at M5. Results indicate arsenic would likely be removed under pH 6 conditions.

Additional pilot studies are needed to confirm that laboratory results can be replicated and appropriately scaled under field conditions. Assuming such confirmation, corrective action Measures may also include groundwater treatment to facilitate reductions. Field demonstrations and groundwater treatment applications could require a state-issued permit pursuant to *10 CSR 20-6.010*. Remedial actions are iterative in nature and Ameren Missouri (as part of the long-term performance monitoring program) will periodically evaluate then-existing groundwater conditions relative to GWPS and determine whether additional treatment measures are warranted.